EJMCR EJMCR EJMCR EJMCR EJMCR

# Fat–fluid level in a silicone breast implant on computed tomography

Hidenori Takahashi[1]*, Hiroki Nagamatsu[1], Ryutaro Hirose[1]

**European Journal of Medical Case Reports**
Volume 10(12):554–555
DOI: 10.24911/ejmcr.9-3080

OPEN ACCESS

This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format, Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, as long as the authors and the original source are properly cited. © The Author(s) 2026.

**Keywords:** Breast Implants, computed tomography, silicones, incidental findings, diagnostic imaging.

**Type of Article:** Image **Specialty:** Radiology

**Correspondence to:** Hidenori Takahashi
*Department of Respiratory Medicine, Tokyo Shinagawa Hospital, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo, Japan.
**Email:** hidenori.tsh@gmail.com
*Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.*

**Received:** 08 August 2026
**Revised:** 11 October 2026
**Accepted:** 11 October 2026

## Case Presentation

A woman in her 20s presented with a 1-month history of intermittent fever and pleuritic right lower chest pain. She denied cough or sputum production, and there was no erythema, swelling, skin discoloration, or discharge involving the chest wall or breasts. C-reactive protein (CRP) was elevated at 7.68 mg/dl, whereas blood cultures were negative. She initially reported no history of surgery or other procedures.

Contrast-enhanced chest computed tomography (CT) showed no evidence of pneumonia or pulmonary embolism. However, a sharply defined horizontal interface was incidentally identified within the right breast implant. On lung-window images, the nondependent component was hypoattenuating but was not as lucent as tracheal air. Attenuation measurements showed −102 Hounsfield units (HU) in the nondependent cap, 20 HU in the dependent layer, and 54 HU in the surrounding implant contents, showing fat-range, fluid-attenuation, and silicone-density values, respectively (Figure 1). Thus, the horizontal interface was considered to represent focal fat-fluid stratification rather than an air–fluid level.

On repeat history taking, the patient disclosed that she had undergone cosmetic breast augmentation with silicone implants 18 months earlier. Given the fever, chest pain, elevated CRP level, and abnormal intra-implant CT finding, implant-related complications, including rupture, were considered, and she was referred to a breast/plastic surgery service. No follow-up information was available after referral; therefore, the final implant diagnosis and its relationship to the clinical presentation remained unknown.

Fluid-fluid stratification has been reported on magnetic resonance imaging in surgically confirmed silicone breast implant rupture without typical shell collapse [1]. In the present case, the nondependent component showed clear fat-range attenuation of −102 HU, allowing the finding to be recognized as fat-fluid stratification.

The origin of the fat-range component remains uncertain, but lipid-related material may have contributed. Lipid infiltration of silicone implant shells has been demonstrated [2], while cholesterol metabolites considered to originate from surrounding breast tissue have been detected in silicone gel from explanted breast implants [3].

When an apparent air-fluid level is encountered within a breast implant on CT, attenuation measurement may reveal an unexpected fat-fluid level instead.

### What is new

This case shows that CT attenuation measurement can distinguish a fat–fluid level from apparent intraluminal gas.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

### Funding

This study was not funded by any specific grants from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

### Consent for publication

The patient provided written informed consent for publication of her case data and the accompanying image.





**Figure 1.** *Contrast-enhanced axial CT (mediastinal window) shows a focal intra-implant stratified structure with a sharp horizontal interface. Attenuation was measured using circular regions of interest placed within each component, avoiding the implant shell and visible interfaces. Values are reported as mean ± SD (min-max) in HU: nondependent layer −102 ± 6.7 (−118 to −85) HU; dependent layer 20.1 ± 7.4 (1-36) HU; surrounding silicone-density implant contents 54.2 ± 7.0 (34-74) HU.*

## Ethical statements

Ethical approval is not required at our institution for anonymous case image reports.

## Author details

Hidenori Takahashi[1], Hiroki Nagamatsu[1], Ryutaro Hirose[1]

1. Department of Respiratory Medicine, Tokyo Shinagawa Hospital, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo, Japan

## References

1. Park SY, Woo OH, Dhong ES. MR findings of breast implant rupture presenting with unusual breast enlargement. Investig Magn Reson Imaging 2018;22(2):110–12. https://doi.org/10.13104/imri.2018.22.2.110
2. Adams WP Jr, Robinson JB Jr, Rohrich RJ. Lipid infiltration as a possible biologic cause of silicone gel breast implant aging. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1998;101(1):64–8; discussion 69–71. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006534-199801000-00011
3. Ruffenach L, Heintz D, Villette C, Cosentino C, Funfschilling D, Bodin F, et al. Ultrasonic elastography for the prevention of breast implant rupture: detection of an increase with stiffness over implantation time. J Biomech. 2024;163:111955. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2024.111955