

Pulmonary mucormycosis after heart transplantation: an uncommon case report

Julie Tuypens^{1*}, Ward Heggermont², An Boel Pharm³, Guy Cammu⁴, Roel Beelen¹

European Journal of Medical Case Reports

Volume XX(XX):01–04

DOI: XXXX



This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> which permits any use, Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format, Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, as long as the authors and the original source are properly cited. © The Author(s) 2024

ABSTRACT

Background: Mucormycosis (MCR) is an uncommon but frequently deadly fungal infection that typically affects individuals with weakened immune systems. Pulmonary MCR, in particular, is most frequently observed in patients who have undergone stem cell or solid organ transplants. The incidence of MCR in solid organ transplant recipients is reported to be 0.07% within the first year. In almost 40% of these transplant patients, including the case we examined, the infection is diagnosed within the first 6 months after the transplant.

Case Presentation: We report a rare case of a 67-year-old man who developed pulmonary MCR within 6 months after undergoing a heart transplant. A bronchoscopy was conducted, and Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction along with cultures of the broncho-alveolar samples tested positive for Mucorales. After consulting with experts from thoracic and vascular surgery, cardiology, pulmonology, and microbiology, and adhering to the expert guidelines, a semi-urgent source control procedure was recommended. This involved performing a thoracoscopic exploration of the right pleura, which was subsequently converted to a lateral thoracotomy, culminating in the resection of the right lower lobe.

Conclusion: Invasive MCR is a rare but serious fungal infection with a high risk of illness and death, particularly in people with underlying health issues or weakened immune systems. The clinical and imaging manifestations can vary among patients based on their immune status and how they contracted the infection. Despite these variations, it is vital to maintain a high level of suspicion for MCR, as early diagnosis and the rapid initiation of surgical and antifungal treatments are critical for improving survival chances.

Keywords: Case report, mucormycosis, pulmonary mucormycosis, heart transplantation.

Received: 10 October 2024

Accepted: 05 November 2024

Type of Article: CASE REPORT

Specialty: Cardiology / Thoracic Surgery

Correspondence to: Julie Tuypens

*Department of Vascular and Thoracic Surgery, Onze-Lieve-Vrouw (OLV) Hospital Aalst-Asse-Ninove, Aalst, Belgium.

Email: julie.tuypens@olvz-aalst.be

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.

Background

Mucormycosis (MCR) is a rare opportunistic fungal infection, albeit often with lethal consequences. Transplant recipients, patients with hematologic malignancies, or poorly controlled diabetics are particularly susceptible to this group of infections [1]. Invasive MCR infections are only present in fewer than 1% of heart transplant recipients [3]. Inhalation of environmental spores of *Mucor* spp. can cause various forms of MCR. The most common predilection sites are rhino-orbital/cerebral (34%), cutaneous (22%), and pulmonary (20%) MCR; often with infarction and necrosis of affected tissues [6]. Over the past 15 years, the number of cases has risen alarmingly. The almost doubled incidence of MCR in many transplant centers has been associated with the introduction and extensive use of voriconazole prophylaxis in these vulnerable groups [4]. Early diagnosis of MCR remains critically important, although clinical and radiological tests often lack sensitivity and specificity. Diagnosis of MCR is based on PCR of

fungal DNA, culturing the causative microorganism, and tissue histology. Currently, however, there are no specific serological tests for the diagnosis of MCR [8]. Urgent early surgical and medical interventions are crucial and sometimes lifesaving, although overall mortality remains very high. The cornerstones in the treatment of MCR are the management of underlying detrimental prognostic factors, e.g., neutropenia and hyperglycemia, early initiation of effective antifungal therapy, and in a considerable number of cases, surgical debridement of affected tissues [9].

We here present a case of a 67-year-old man who was diagnosed with pulmonary MCR within the first 6 months after heart transplantation.


Case Presentation

A 67-year-old patient presented in mid-March 2024 at the cardiology outpatient ward for a routine check-up following heart transplantation. He reported general malaise and illness. Bloodwork revealed a strongly elevated C-reactive


66 protein (CRP) level up to 250 mg/l (Ref. ≤ 5 mg/l). Chest-
 67 computed tomography (CT) showed pneumonia in the
 68 right lower lobe, for which empirical treatment with pip-
 69 eracillin-tazobactam (3×4 g/day) was initiated. An initial
 70 bronchoscopy showed intact endobronchial lumina with
 71 commensal flora. Due to biochemical and clinical dete-
 72 rioration, a repeat bronchoscopy was performed within
 73 a week and revealed clear infectious lesions in the right
 74 lower lobe. Reverse transcription polymerase chain reac-
 75 tion (RT-PCR) and cultures of the sampled broncho-al-
 76 veolar material were positive for Mucorales. Empirical
 77 treatment with Amphotericin B (5 mg/kg) was initiated.

78 Initially, there was a swiftly favorable evolution under
 79 Amphotericin B, but after 2 weeks of therapy, there was
 80 the re-emergence of fever and clinical deterioration.
 81 Subsequent repeated chest-CT imaging showed a large
 82 cavitating mass (Figure 1). To cover potential bacterial
 83 surinfection, meropenem (2 g/24 hours in continuous IV
 84 infusion) was started, and vancomycin (500 mg/24 hours
 85 in continuous IV infusion, with a creatinine of 2.85)
 86 was also associated due to blood cultures positive for
 87 *Enterococcus faecium*. Following multidisciplinary con-
 88 sultation (thoracic and vascular surgery, cardiology, pul-
 89 monology, and microbiology), and in line with available
 90 expert guidelines, the decision was made to proceed with
 91 semi-urgent source control. Therefore, video-assisted
 92 thoracoscopy was planned.


93 A thorascopic exploration of the right pleura was
 94 performed, followed by conversion to a lateral thoracot-
 95 omy and the performance of a right lower lobe resec-
 96 tion with drainage of a large transdiaphragmatic abscess
 97 (Figure 2). Figure 3 shows the intraoperative findings of a
 98 large transdiaphragmatic abscess with a fistulous tract to
 99 the suprahepatic space. Extensive irrigation was carried
 100 out with warm saline and povidone-iodine for 30 minutes.
 101 A chest drain was left in place in the pleura, and a Blake



109 **Figure 2.** Right lower lobe resection.
 110



111 **Figure 3.** Fistulous tract from chest cavity to the suprahepatic
 112 space.
 113



114 **Figure 1.** CT chest: evolving cavitating mass.
 115

102 drain (drain with multiple drainage routes) was positioned
 103 suprahepatically (intra-abdominally) through the dia-
 104 phragmatic defect.

105 Postoperatively, there was a favorable clinical and bio-
 106 chemical evolution with the removal of drains guided by
 107 appearance and output. Antibiotic therapy could be de-es-
 108 calated to amoxiclav (4×1 g/day) and metronidazole ($3 \times$

116 500 mg/day) based on the intraoperative cultures, which
 117 were positive for *Rhizopus microsporus*, *Enterococcus*
 118 *faecium*, and *Veillonella*. For the next 3 weeks, the patient
 119 was doing well, still recovering but without any abdominal
 120 complaints. Several bronchoscopies have been performed
 121 in the context of mucopurulent sputum and have shown
 122 good healing of the sutures after resection. Unfortunately,
 123 the patient died due to *Pseudomonas* sepsis at the inten-
 124 sive care department.

125 In September 2023, the man underwent a heart trans-
 126 plantation due to underlying end-stage ischemic cardio-
 127 myopathy with terminal heart failure. Postoperatively,
 128 immunosuppressive medications were administered
 129 according to protocol, including grafalon, mycophenolic
 130 acid (Cellcept) ($2 \times 1,000$ mg/day), tacrolimus (Prograft)
 131 2×2 mg, and solu-Medrol 3×125 mg IV at day 1, fol-
 132 lowed by a tapering dose of 20 mg daily.

133 There was a Cytomegalovirus (CMV) mismatch (the
 134 donor was CMV positive while the recipient was nega-
 135 tive), leading to the prophylactic intravenous administra-
 136 tion of Megalotect until serial PCR tests were negative
 137 three times in a row.

138 However, the initial hospitalization course for heart
 139 transplantation became more complicated due to the
 140 development of acute cholecystitis (acute severe pain in
 141 the right hypochondrium with positive Murphy’s sign and
 142 ultrasonographic findings of sludge with small gallstones
 143 and gallbladder wall thickening) 2 weeks postopera-
 144 tively. An urgent laparoscopic cholecystectomy was per-
 145 formed. Postoperatively, there was a deterioration in the
 146 patient’s overall condition with diffuse pain complaints.
 147 High-resolution CT showed no pneumonia, but abdom-
 148 inal ultrasound revealed a heterogenous fluid collection
 149 at the site of the gallbladder bed. Two successful ultra-
 150 sound-guided punctures were performed (due to recurrent
 151 collection). Persistent bile leakage after drain placement
 152 necessitated an endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancre-
 153 atography, which showed an incomplete clipping of the
 154 cystic duct. Extended drainage with removal of the drain
 155 after 3 weeks led to favorable clinical and biochemical
 156 evolution until discharge.

157 The question remains whether the development of right
 158 lower lobe MCR with fistulisation from/towards the right
 159 hepatic region is related to prolonged biliary drainage
 160 after cholecystectomy.

161 Discussion and Literature Review

162 Fungi are widespread in the environment and play a cru-
 163 cial role in the ecosystem and biodiversity because they
 164 are essential for nutrient cycling and waste recycling. It
 165 is estimated that there are 1.5 million different species of
 166 fungi, of which only about 300 are known to cause dis-
 167 eases in humans [1].

168 MCR is caused by fungi belonging to the order
 169 Mucorales (*Rhizopus* (in our patient), *Mucor*, and

Lichtheimia (formerly *Absidia*), which account for >90% 170
 of all cases of MCR [2]. 171

Pulmonary MCR is most commonly seen in immuno- 172
 suppressed patients, mainly in those with stem cell trans- 173
 plants or solid organ transplants. The reported incidence 174
 of MCR in recipients of solid organ transplants is 0.07% 175
 after 1 year. In nearly 40% of such transplant patients, 176
 as in our case, the diagnosis was made within the first 6 177
 months post-transplantation [11]. 178

In recent years, there has been an increase in the number 179
 of cases, attributed to the use of voriconazole prophylaxis 180
 [5]. However, it is not known whether this association 181
 really has an epidemiological link or if it is rather a result 182
 of the evolution of transplantation methods and immu- 183
 nosuppression strategies. The existence of voriconazole 184
 in both oral and injectable forms allows for prolonged 185
 antifungal treatment in patients with significant immuno- 186
 suppression. One might hypothesize that patients, by not 187
 developing aspergillosis during the post transplantation 188
 period, can later develop MCR in the context of ongoing 189
 immunosuppression and exposure to high-dose corticos- 190
 teroids. Conversely, it is possible that metabolic factors 191
 associated with transfusions and prolonged treatment 192
 with high doses of corticosteroids (i.e., iron overload and 193
 hyperglycemia) could independently promote the devel- 194
 opment of MCR, irrespective of exposure to voriconazole, 195
 in these chronically immunosuppressed patients [6]. 196

Patients typically present with fever, dyspnea, cough, 197
 and sometimes massive hemoptysis. On chest CT imag- 198
 ing, pulmonary MCR may show a “reverse halo sign” (a 199
 central area of ground-glass necrosis encircled by consoli- 200
 dation), but it is more commonly identified by nonspecific 201
 pleural effusions and pulmonary nodules, complicating 202
 the noninvasive diagnosis of MCR [10]. 203

MCR is the most angioinvasive among all fungal 204
 diseases, characterized by extensive tissue invasion and 205
 tissue destruction [7]. Historically, approaches to man- 206
 aging MCR have been tailored individually for each 207
 case. However, a recent guideline from the European 208
 Confederation of Medical Mycology recommends high- 209
 dose liposomal amphotericin B as the first-line treatment, 210
 with IV isavuconazole or posaconazole as second-line 211
 therapies. Early surgical debridement is recommended, 212
 both to manage the infection source and to confirm the 213
 diagnosis [8]. 214

In this case, the patient received amphotericin B high 215
 dose according to the recommendations. Due to clinical 216
 deterioration, meropenem and vancomycin were added to 217
 cover potential secondary infection. Surgical debridement 218
 was performed as soon as possible. What distinguishes 219
 this case is the patient’s medical background. The hospi- 220
 talization following the heart transplant had already been 221
 prolonged due to acute cholecystitis, which later resulted 222
 in the formation of a collection caused by a bile leak. It is 223
 still unclear whether the formation of a right lower lobe 224

225 MCR that connects to the right hepatic area is associated
226 with extended biliary drainage following cholecystectomy.

227 **Conclusion**

228 Invasive MCR is an uncommon yet severe fungal infec-
229 tion that carries a significant risk of morbidity and
230 mortality (40%-80%), especially in individuals with
231 pre-existing health conditions or compromised immune
232 systems. Clinical and imaging findings may differ among
233 patients, depending on their immune health and how the
234 infection was contracted. Nonetheless, maintaining a high
235 index of suspicion for the infection is crucial, as prompt
236 detection and swift commencement of surgical and anti-
237 fungal treatments are essential for enhancing survival
238 rates. Previous cases of pulmonary MCR in post-heart
239 transplant patients have been documented; however, there
240 is still a lack of robust evidence for direct management,
241 especially concerning immunosuppression.

242 **List of Abbreviations**

- 243 CMV Cytomegalovirus
- 244 CRP C-reactive protein
- 245 CT Computed tomography
- 246 MCR Mucormycosis
- 247 RT-PCR Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

248 **Conflict of interest**

249 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regard-
250 ing the publication of this article.

251 **Funding**

252 None.

253 **Consent for publication**

254 Written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

255 **Ethical approval**

256 Ethical approval is not required at our institution to publish an
257 anonymous case report.

258 **Author details**

259 Julie Tuypens¹, Ward Heggermont², An Boel Pharm³, Guy
260 Cammu⁴, Roel Beelen¹

- 261 1. Department of Vascular and Thoracic Surgery, Onze-Lieve-
262 Vrouw (OLV) Hospital Aalst-Asse-Ninove, Aalst, Belgium
- 263 2. Department of Cardiology, Onze-Lieve-Vrouw (OLV) Hospital
264 Aalst-Asse-Ninove, Aalst, Belgium
- 265 3. Department of Clinical Biology, Onze-Lieve-Vrouw (OLV)
266 Hospital Aalst-Asse-Ninove, Aalst, Belgium
- 267 4. Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Onze-Lieve-
268 Vrouw (OLV) Hospital Aalst-Asse-Ninove, Aalst, Belgium

323 **Summary of case**

324	1	Patient (sex, age)	67 years, male
325	2	Final diagnosis	Pulmonary MCR
326	3	Symptoms	General malaise and illness
327	4	Medications	Thoracoscopic exploration: right lower lobe resection
328	5	Clinical procedure	Surgery
329	6	Specialty	Cardiology

References

269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322

1. Alqarihi A, Kontoyiannis DP, Ibrahim AS. Mucormycosis in 2023: an update on pathogenesis and management. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. 2023 Sep;13:1254919. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1254919>
2. Uppuluri P, Alqarihi A, Ibrahim AS. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, Encyclopedia of mycology*. 2021;1:600–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809633-8.21013-3>
3. Nokes BT, Pajaro O, Stephen J, DeValeria P, Scott R, Shah S, et al. Monster lung cavity in a heart transplant recipient. *Heart Surg Forum*. 2018 Mar;21(2):E072–4. <https://doi.org/10.1532/hf.1922>
4. Marr KA, Carter RA, Crippa F, Wald A, Corey L. Epidemiology and outcome of mould infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2002 Apr;34(7):909–17. <https://doi.org/10.1086/339202>
5. Pongas GN, Lewis RE, Samonis G, Kontoyiannis DP. Voriconazole-associated zygomycosis: a significant consequence of evolving antifungal prophylaxis and immunosuppression practices? *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2009 Oct;15 Suppl 5:93–7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02988.x>
6. Jeong W, Keighley C, Wolfe R, Lee WL, Slavin MA, Kong DC, et al. The epidemiology and clinical manifestations of mucormycosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of case reports. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2019 Jan;25(1):26–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2018.07.011>
7. Ben-Ami R, Luna M, Lewis RE, Walsh TJ, Kontoyiannis DP. A clinicopathological study of pulmonary mucormycosis in cancer patients: extensive angioinvasion but limited inflammatory response. *J Infect*. 2009 Aug;59(2):134–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2009.06.002>
8. Cornely OA, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Arenz D, Chen SC, Dannaoui E, Hochhegger B, et al. Global guideline for the diagnosis and management of mucormycosis: an initiative of the European Confederation of Medical Mycology in cooperation with the Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2019 Dec;19(12):e405–21. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30312-3
9. Chitasombat MN, Kontoyiannis DP. Treatment of mucormycosis in transplant patients: role of surgery and of old and new antifungal agents. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2016 Aug;29(4):340–5. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0000000000000277>
10. Lin E, Moua T, Limper AH. Pulmonary mucormycosis: clinical features and outcomes. *Infection*. 2017 Aug;45(4):443–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-017-0991-6>
11. Hill MC, Belkin MN, McMullen P 2nd, Pillarella JJ, Macaluso GP, Treitman AN, et al. Management of pulmonary mucormycosis after orthotopic heart transplant: a case series. *Transplant Proc*. 2021 Dec;53(10):3051–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2021.09.034>