

solution of polyethylene glycol. It was then centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was analyzed for troponin I. The result after accounting for the dilution factor was 1.26 ng/l, which is below the limit of quantification of Alinity high sensitivity troponin I assay. The recovery as calculated by dividing the percentage of troponin I in the supernatant with the patient's original result was less than 1%, compared to 70.4% recovery in the internal control solution. These investigations indicate the presence of macrotroponins in the specimen causing falsely elevated high sensitivity troponin I results on Alinity i.

The case reinforces the fact that potential interferences exist with high sensitivity troponin assays. Clinicians should be aware of these interferences and interpret the results in correlation with the clinical findings.

Discussion

Cardiac troponins are now considered to be the best biomarkers of cardiac injury. High sensitivity cardiac troponin assays have been recently advocated because of their superior detection limit and high precision. These biomarkers are the cornerstone in diagnosing acute myocardial infarction as per its definition, whereby demonstrating a rise or fall of the biomarker along with evidence of ischemia is required for the diagnosis [1].

The cardiac troponins, troponin I, troponin T, and troponin C make up the myofilaments which are responsible for cardiac muscle contraction. Troponin I occurs as three isomers. There is an isomer in the cardiac tissue with 209 amino acid residues and molecular weight 23-24 kDa, whereas an isomer of troponin I is produced each in slow- and fast-twitch skeletal muscle fibers, having an approximately 40% homology with the cardiac troponin I [2]. Therefore, the assays which are developed for cardiac troponin I should have a high degree of specificity. In addition, the high sensitivity troponin assays have an increased requirement for lower detection limits and greater precision [3].

As with other laboratory tests, variations can occur in the troponin assays due to pre-analytical, analytical, biological, and pathological factors [4]. Among the analytical considerations, interference by heterophile antibodies is one of the most important. Heterophile antibodies are antibodies which occur in the serum against unidentified antigens and are cross-reactive to a variety of antigens. They can cause interference in immunoassays by binding to the Fc component of the assay antibody, thus giving a false-positive result. Heterophile antibodies can develop in patients after blood transfusions, treatment with monoclonal antibodies, exposure to animals, vaccination, or the presence of autoimmune disease [5,6]. The incidence of heterophile antibodies has been quoted to be around 3.1% in the literature [4].

Antibodies to troponins can also exist causing a false-negative result by inhibiting the binding of assay antibodies to cardiac troponin and thereby generally going unnoticed [4]. The incidence of troponin I autoantibodies has been quoted as between 2% and 20% in the normal population [7,8]. On the other hand, autoantibodies can also cause an increase in troponin I by causing cardiotoxicity [9]. Around 5% of discrepancy between troponin I on various assays could be due to the presence of autoantibodies accounting for assay interferences as shown by Warner [8].

Macro-troponin is a special form of interference in immunoassays, in which an autoantibody binds to the analyte of interest, thus forming a large molecule which is difficult to excrete from the bloodstream and gives a persistent false-positive result. This was commonly seen for some other protein analytes such as amylase and prolactin, but now troponin assays are shown to be susceptible to this interference as well, with high sensitivity assays being more vulnerable. A recent study [10] has stated the prevalence of macro-troponin as 55% which is an alarming figure if analytical concerns are not met. Among the various assays available, Abbott's high sensitivity assay on Architect has shown a preponderance of this interference [8,11-13], suggesting that the Abbott assay somehow, due to reasons not yet clear, develops more cross binding to the macro-troponin complex than other assays. Alinity series is a newer analytical platform launched by Abbott Diagnostics. The report is the first such reported case on Alinity i in the region. Macro-troponins can also give falsely low results if the targeted epitope in the assay is not captured [10].

Various methods are employed in the laboratory to work up for the potential interferences in immunoassays [4,6,12]. For the suspected cases with heterophile antibodies, antibodies blocking agents can be added to the specimen before analysis. Another way to identify cross-reacting heterophile antibodies is to serially dilute the specimen [14]. If the dilutions fail to give a linear recovery, it is likely to be due to the presence of heterophile antibodies. Incubation with 25% polyethylene glycol and then re-centrifuging the specimen leads to precipitation of the high molecular weight molecules, including macro troponins if any, and low recovery of the analyte in the supernatant. Performing troponin I by a different platform using varied antibody target epitopes and performing tests for alternate biomarkers, for example, troponin T or CK-MB can give additional clues. In this case, repeated troponin I assays by the same method on Alinity i remained high, whereas results were linear on serial dilution and gave very low recovery after precipitation with polyethylene glycol. This pointed toward the presence of macro-troponin in the specimen, causing falsely elevated results. Further confirmation was done by performing cardiac troponin T and

CK-MB, which were both within reference limits, and performing troponin I on an alternate method, VITROS ECi, which too was negative.

Although the phenomenon of heterophile antibodies and macrotroponins is known in the literature, it is highly likely that many such cases go unnoticed and unreported. This can lead to a potential harm to the patient with unnecessary investigations, interventions, and treatment. This is only one case, which has been brought to the light of the laboratory, but it is likely that there are more which have not been picked so far. It is proposed that if there is a lack of clinical correlation with the results, including the characteristic temporal rise and fall of the troponins, as seen in this case, the physicians should suspect the presence of macrotroponin or other antibody interferences and should communicate with their laboratory colleagues for the specimen to be worked up.

There were certain limitations in the study. We did not have the resources to study the effect of addition of heterophile antibody blocking reagents or immunoglobulin binding proteins such as protein A or G to the specimen or to do analysis by gel filtration. This is a single case only. A well-defined protocol needs to be established for laboratories to follow whenever discordant results of high sensitivity troponin I are observed.

Conclusion

Clinicians and laboratorians should be aware of the possible interference by macrotroponin in their assays and should be alerted when there is a discordance between the laboratory and clinical findings.

What is new?

Selected cases of interferences in troponin assays have been reported in the literature. High sensitivity troponin assays are becoming increasingly common in the region and are more prone to these interferences. The manuscript describes a case of macrotroponin I on alinity I, a newly launched testing platform by Abbott Diagnostics.

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was taken from the patient.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval is not required at the institution for publishing an anonymous case report.

Author details

Fatima Zehra Kanani¹, Adnan Haider Kazmi¹

1. Section of Chemical Pathology, The Indus Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan

References

1. Amsterdam EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, Casey DE, Ganiats TG, Holmes DR, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the

management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64(24):2645–87.

2. Apple FS, Collinson PO. Analytical characteristics of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin assays. *Clin Chem.* 2012;58(1):54–61. <https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2011.165795>
3. Wu AH, Christenson RH, Greene DN, Jaffe AS, Kavsak PA, Ordonez-Llanos J, et al. Clinical laboratory practice recommendations for the use of cardiac troponin in acute coronary syndrome: expert opinion from the Academy of the American Association for Clinical Chemistry and the Task Force on Clinical Applications of Cardiac Bio-Markers of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine. *Clin Chem.* 2018;64(4):645–55.
4. Lan NS, Bell DA. Revisiting the biological variability of cardiac troponin: implications for clinical practice. *Clin Biochem Rev.* 2019;40(4):201.
5. Zaidi A, Cowell R. False positive cardiac troponin elevation due to heterophile antibodies: more common than we recognise? *BMJ Case Rep.* 2010;2010:bcr1120092477. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr.11.2009.2477>
6. Lippi G, Aloe R, Meschi T, Borghi L, Cervellin G. Interference from heterophilic antibodies in troponin testing. Case report and systematic review of the literature. *Clin Chim Acta.* 2013;426:79–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2013.09.004>
7. Wong SL, Isserow S, Pudek M. Macro-troponin causing elevation in cardiac troponin I. *Can J Cardiol.* 2014;30(8):956.e5–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2014.03.037>
8. Warner JV, Marshall GA. High incidence of macro-troponin I with a high-sensitivity troponin I assay. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2016;54(11):1821–9. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2015-1276/j.cclm.ahead-of-print/cclm-2015-1276/cclm-2015-1276.xml>
9. Park JY, Jaffe AS. Troponin autoantibodies: from assay interferent to mediator of cardiotoxicity. *Clin Chem.* 2017;63(1):30–2. <https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2016.268920>
10. Lam L, Aspin L, Heron RC, Ha L, Kyle C. Discrepancy between cardiac troponin assays due to endogenous antibodies. *Clin Chem.* 2020;66(3):445–54. <https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvz032>.
11. Herman DS, Kavsak PA, Greene DN. Variability and error in cardiac troponin testing an ACLPS critical review. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2017;148(4):281–95.
12. Michielsen EC, Bisschops PG, Janssen MJ. False positive troponin result caused by a true macro-troponin. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2011;49(5):923–5. <https://doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.2011.147>
13. Chew-Harris Janice SC, Brennan Stephen O, Florkowski Christopher M, Troughton R, George Peter M. Deciphering a macro-troponin I complex; a case report. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2017;55(4):e77–9.
14. Bjerner J, Børmer OP, Nustad K. The war on heterophilic antibody interference. *Clin Chem.* 2005;51(1):9–11. <https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2004.042994>

Summary of the case

1	Age	30 years
2	Gender	Male
3	Symptoms	None
4	Diagnosis	Macro troponin I
5	Investigations	High sensitivity troponin I, troponin T, CK-MB, conventional troponin I, electrocardiogram, echocardiography, ETT, linearity studies, precipitation studies
6	Treatment	None
7	Specialty	Cardiology, Clinical Chemistry